Chapters 1-4: Background Information. Chapter 1 describes the purpose and application of the Guidelines; briefly reviews the hazards of lead-based paint in housing; summarizes major departures from past approaches; and provides context in terms of Federal law and regulations and agency programs. Chapter 2 introduces categories of individuals involved in evaluating and controlling lead-based paint hazards in housing, explains their roles, and summarizes their qualifications. Chapter 3 identifies the critical steps that must be taken to avoid problems and mistakes that can result in project delays and cost overruns. Chapter 4 provides general advice on how to carry out work in older housing so that lead hazards are not inadvertently created and on how to combine renovation with abatement work.

Chapters 5–7: Hazard Evaluation. Hazard evaluation helps to ensure the selection of the safest and most costeffective hazard control strategy for each situation. Chapter 5 provides detailed guidance on how risk assessments are to be conducted in various categories of housing, including protocols for environmental sample collection and interpretation, evaluation of building and paint condition, and methods for sampling a subset of units in multifamily buildings. Chapter 6 describes how reevaluations are to be performed and provides detailed schedules for when reevaluations are needed. Chapter 7 provides detailed information on methods for testing housing to determine the presence of lead-based paint on a surface-by-surface basis, including the use of portable XRF analyzers and paint-chip sampling for laboratory analysis.

Chapters 8–10: Preparation for the Project. Chapters 8–10 cover the critical steps in preparing to control lead-based paint hazards. Chapter 8 provides guidance on the steps needed to ensure that occupants are not endangered and that contamination is not spread. Chapter 9 provides detailed advice on how to comply with the OSHA Lead in Construction Standard while performing work in housing. Chapter 10 provides detailed, practical advice on methods for segregating, handling, and disposing of various kinds of debris, to protect the environment and meet hazardous waste requirements at the lowest cost.

Chapters 11–15: Hazard Control, Cleanup, and Clearance. Chapters 11–15 provide detailed information on how to carry out all aspects of lead hazard control. Chapter 11 provides specific guidance on interim controls: general principles of interim controls, dust

removal, paint stabilization, friction surface treatments, and soil and exterior dust treatments. Chapter 12 covers general principles of abatement, such as component replacement, enclosure, paint removal methods, and soil abatement. Chapter 13 describes how to use an encapsulant and the status of such products pending the development of performance standards pursuant to Title X. Chapter 14 details cleanup procedures for lead hazard control projects. Chapter 15 explains how to conduct clearance tests after a lead hazard control project, to ensure that a unit or area is safe for occupancy.

Chapters 16–18: Other Issues. The final chapters provide information on addressing lead-based paint hazards in special situations. Chapter 16 describes the special measures that are usually taken by health department staff and others to investigate environmental lead hazards once a child has been identified as lead-poisoned. Chapter 17 addresses the range of issues related to lead-based paint as it is encountered in the course of routine maintenance work. Chapter 18 discusses the special situations and issues surrounding lead-based paint in historic dwellings.

# **Purchasing the Guidelines**

Copies of the Guidelines can be obtained from HUD USER, P.O. Box 6091, Rockville, MD 20850, for the cost of handling and postage. All orders must be prepaid. When the cost is being charged to a VISA or MasterCard account, purchasers may also order by telephoning HUD USER at 1–800–245–2691. All inquiries, whether by mail or telephone, should reference "Notice FR–3939. Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing."

Dated: August 11, 1995.

# David E. Jacobs,

Director, Office of Lead-Based Paint Abatement and Poisoning Prevention. [FR Doc. 95–21109 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–01–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Bureau of Land Management [ID-942-1150-00]

## Idaho: Filing of Plats of Survey

The plat of the following described land was officially filed in the Idaho State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Boise, Idaho, effective 9:00 a.m., August 17, 1995.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the subdivisional

lines, and subdivision of certain sections, T. 5 N., R. 1 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, Group No. 883, was accepted, August 17, 1995.

This survey was executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Land Management.

All inquiries concerning the survey of the above described land must be sent to the Chief, Branch of Cadastral Survey, Idaho State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 3380 Americana Terrace, Boise, Idaho, 83706.

Dated: August 17, 1995.

## Duane E. Olsen,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Idaho. [FR Doc. 95–21174 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–GG–M

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

# Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposal for the collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information and related forms may be obtained by contacting Reclamation's clearance officer at the telephone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the proposal should be made directly to Reclamation's clearance officer and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1006-\*), Washington, DC 20503, telephone 202-395-7340.

Title: Customer Satisfaction Survey-Bureau of Reclamation-Pacific Northwest Region (to Implement Executive Order 12862)

OMB approval number: 1006-\*\*\*\*
Abstract: As required by Executive
Order 12862, written survey of our
water resource customers
(environmental groups; irrigators;
Federal, State, and local entities;
Native American Tribes; public, etc.)
to determine the quality of services
they want and their level of
satisfaction with existing services
Bureau form number: None

Frequency: On going

Description of respondents: Water resource customers (environmental groups; irrigators; Federal, State, and local entities; Native American Tribes; public, etc.).

Estimated completion time: 1/2 hour Annual responses: 1,000 Annual burden hours: 500 Reclamation clearance officer: Marilyn Rehfeld 303–236–0305 extension 459

Dated: July 20, 1995.

#### Murlin Coffey,

Leader, Property and Office Services. [FR Doc. 95–21175 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

#### **National Park Service**

# Niobrara National Scenic River Advisory Commission

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets the schedule for the forthcoming meeting of the Niobrara Advisory Commission. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463).

**MEETING DATE AND TIME:** Friday, Sept. 15, 1995; 1:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Brown County Courthouse, Ainsworth, Nebraska.

Agenda topics include:

- 1. Review of latest draft of the Niobrara National Scenic River General Management Plan reflecting changes recommended at the June 29 meeting.
- 2. Review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan.
- 3. The opportunity for public comment and proposed agenda, date, and time, of the next Advisory Group meeting.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral/written presentation to the Commission or file written statements. Requests for time for making presentations may be made to the Superintendent prior to the meeting or to the Chair at the beginning of the meeting. In order to accomplish the agenda for the meeting, the Chair may want to limit or schedule public presentations.

The meeting will be recorded for documentation and a summary in the form of minutes will be transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meeting will be made available to the public after approval by the Commission members. Copies of the minutes may be requested by contacting the Superintendent. An audio tape of the meeting will be available at the headquarters office of the Niobrara/Missouri National Scenic Riverways in O'Neill, Nebraska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Warren Hill, Superintendent, Niobrara/ Missouri National Scenic Riverways, P.O. Box 591, O'Neill, Nebraska 68763– 0591, 402–336–3970.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Advisory Commission was established by the law that established the Niobrara National Scenic River, Public Law 102-50. The purpose of the group, according to its charter, is to advise the Secretary of the Interior on matters pertaining to the development of a management plan, and management and operation of the Scenic River. The Niobrara National Scenic River includes the 40-mile segment from Borman Bridge southeast of Valentine, Nebraska to its confluence with Chimney Creek; and the 30-mile segment from the confluence with Rock Creek downstream to State Highway

Dated: August 14, 1995.

## William W. Schenk,

Field Director.

[FR Doc. 95–21087 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

# INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 32749]

# Pine Belt Southern Railroad Company—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Line of Central of Georgia Railroad Company

Pine Belt Southern Railroad Company (PBSR), a noncarrier, filed a notice of exemption on July 26, 1995 to acquire and operate approximately 42.4 miles of rail line owned and operated by Central of Georgia Railroad Company (CGA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Norfolk Southern Railway Company. 1 The involved rail lines, known as the Roanoke Junction-Lafayette Line and the Nuckols-Hurtsboro Line, are located in Chambers, Lee, and Russell Counties, AL. Specifically, (1) the Roanoke Jct. Lafayette Line runs between milepost T-322.3 at Roanoke Jct. and milepost T-339.7 at Lafayette, a distance of 17.4 miles; and (2) the Nuckols-Hurtsboro Line runs between milepost S-304.0 at Nuckols and milepost S-329.0 at Hurtsboro, a distance of 25 miles. The proposed transaction will be consummated after the July 26, 1995 notice takes effect and all conditions to closing have been satisfied.2

Any comments must be filed with the Commission and served on: Andrew C.

Rambo, P.O. Box 129, 104 Depot St., Shelbyville, TN 37160.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1150.31. If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

Decided: August 21, 1995.

By the Commission, Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

#### Vernon A. Williams

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–21190 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7035–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket No. 95–15]

# Anthony E. Doss, M.D., Revocation of Registration

On December 12, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause to Anthony E. Doss, M.D., (Respondent), of 621 Commonwealth Avenue, Bristol, Virginia, proposing to revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AD7453537, and to deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f). The proposed action was predicated on Dr. Doss' lack of authorization to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

By letter dated January 16, 1995, Respondent requested a hearing on the issue raised in the Order to Show Cause and the matter was placed on the docket of Administrative Law Judge Paul A. Tenney. On January 30, 1995, Judge Tenney issued an order for prehearing statements. In lieu of filing a prehearing statement, Government counsel filed a motion for summary disposition on the ground that Respondent was no longer authorized to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Attached to the Government's motion were a copy of the Virginia Board of Medicine's order revoking Respondent's state medical license, and an affidavit from the Virginia Board of Pharmacy attesting that Respondent is not authorized to prescribe, distribute or manufacture Schedule I through V drugs in the Commonwealth of Virginia. On February 13, 1995, Judge Tenney issued an order permitting Respondent to file a response, on or before February 27,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The acquisition includes the real estate together with all buildings, improvements, fixtures, appurtenances and all interests of CGA in and to any leases, easements, licenses, permits, agreements, sidetrack agreements and privileges pertaining to the real estate.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The parties anticipated a closing date of July 28, 1995.